

REPORT #2 OF 2

City of South Pasadena Red Light Camera Analysis
For calendar month of May 1-31, 2011

Total number of events (camera "violations") **1849**

Breakdown by license tags:

Out-of-state license tags	188 (10.1%)
Company owned license tags*	95 (0.51%)

(Company owned and out of state includes 93 rental car companies)

*Company registered vehicles and rental cars are drivers that do business in or near So. Pasadena or are visitors to our area. As a result, So. Pasadena is sending a very negative message to drivers in our City.

City of St. Petersburg	619 (33.4%)
City of St. Pete Beach	143 (07.7%)
City of South Pasadena	125 (06.7%)

There are five (5) red light cameras in South Pasadena (6/10ths of a square mile in total area). All are located on Pasadena Avenue, the single north/south thoroughfare in the City. Following is the number of "violations" recorded at each of the 5 locations during the month of May, 2011:

Count	Location
310	NB/Pasadena Ave. @ Sailboat Key Blvd.
518	NB/Pasadena Ave. @ Park Street
492	SB/Pasadena Ave. @ Gulfport Blvd.
191	NB/Pasadena Ave. @ <u>Shore Drive S.</u> *
<u>338</u>	SB/Pasadena Ave. @ <u>Shore Drive S.</u> *

Total **1,849**

*This one intersection of Pasadena Ave. and Shore Drive S. has cameras both north and south bound where **529 (28.6%)** of the total "violations" were recorded, **a significant number of** which were to residents of Shore Drive S. and Pasadena Isle.

IMPORTANT - Concerning the purported reason for these cameras, so-called "pedestrian safety," on June 1, 2011, in response to my inquiry, the Pinellas County Sheriff's Dept. cited the Dept. of Highway Safety and Driver Vehicle Information Database ("DAVID") for the only period available, January 1, 2004 - May 31, 2011, which states: "According to DAVID, there were 3 pedestrian crashes in South Pasadena. They are as follows:

01/01/2006-S011-148160 - Pasadena Ave/14th Avenue S.; 12/26/2008 - S008-331600 - 1893 S. Shore Drive; 05/02/2011 - 0628760 - Pasadena Ave/Hibiscus Ave."

NOTE: One of these fatalities involved a pedestrian in a motorized scooter and none of them were the result of a red light camera "violation" - or where any of the cameras are currently operating. In addition, it appears that in each case of a "violation" issued none of them involved any vehicle collisions or pedestrian injuries or fatalities. So much for lip service about "safety."

Prepared/Submitted by: Dan Calabria, July 15, 2011 (dcala@verizon.net)